1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney	
2	DOUG SPRAGUE Acting Criminal Chief (CABN 202121)	
4 5 6 7	JOSHUA B. EATON (CABN 196887) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7102 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		
10	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR No. 3-07-70396-JL
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME FROM JULY 26, 2007
16	v.)) TO AUGUST 15, 2007
17	JEFFREY BENJAMIN HARRISON,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters	
21	this order extending the time for the preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal	
22	Procedure 5.1, and extending the 30-day time period for indictment and excluding time under the	
23	Speedy Trial Act (18 U.S.C. § 3161) from July 26, 2007 to August 15, 2007. The parties agree,	
24	and the Court finds and holds, as follows:	
25	1. The defendant is presently charged by criminal complaint for a violation of 18 U.S.C.	
26	§2252(a)(4)(B) and (a)(1) Currently, the matter is scheduled for a preliminary examination or	
27	arraignment on August 15, 2006.	
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-07-70396-JL	1

1

5

8

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

///

///

25 26

27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-07-70396-JL

2. The parties request and stipulate herein that: (a) with the defendant's consent, the twentyday time limit for a preliminary hearing or indictment under F.R.Crim.P. 5.1(a), (c), and (d) be extended; and (b) time be excluded from calculation of the thirty-day time limit for information or indictment under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

- 3. The parties make this request because the United States has provided and will continue to make discovery available to defense counsel and thus defense counsel needs time to review those materials, meet with the defendant and determine how to proceed. Specifically, defense counsel needs to determine whether a pre-charge disposition is appropriate. Only after evaluating the evidence will counsel be in a position to evaluate what disposition is an appropriate one. He also believes it is his best interest to negotiate the case pre-indictment, and he cannot do that without evaluating the evidence. Accordingly, the extension and exclusion are required for effective preparation of defense counsel.
- 3. The Court finds that there is good cause for the extension under F.R.Crim.P. 5.1, and the exclusion under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, and that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and of the defendant in a speedy trial and the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Court further finds that failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel for all parties reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
- 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a date before the duty magistrate judge on August 15, 2007, at 9:30 a.m., for setting a date for preliminary hearing and (2) orders that the period from July 26, 2007, to August 15, 2007, be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy

Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	DATED: AUGUST 2, 2007	JOSHUA B. EATON Assistant United States Attorney
4		
5	DATED: August 2, 2007	/S/ EDWIN PRATHER
6		Attorney for JEFFREY HARRISON
7 8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	II IS SO ORDERED.	
10		
11	DATED:	
12		THE HON. JAMES LARSON United States Magistrate Judge
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
2122		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-07-70396-JL	3